

EXPLORATION

July 1999

ical Press

mitted in any form or by any means,
ng, or any information storage and
copyright owner.

st page of an article in this journal
article may be made for personal or
clients. This consent is given on the
er copy fee through the Copyright
achusetts 01970), for copying beyond
opyright Law. This consent does not
eneral distribution, for advertising or
or for resale.

cribed has not been published before
ed lecture, review or thesis), that it is
ts publication has been approved by
ne laboratories where the work was
elsewhere in the same form, in either
ne copyright owner. By submitting a
article is transferred to the publisher
pyright covers the exclusive rights to
rints, photographic reproductions,
ther reproductions of similar nature,
electronic data-base, video-disks, or
is journal is prohibited without per-

s,
Research Alert.

ISSN 0963 - 0651

es, Belgium

THE EFFECTS OF WIDE-INCIDENCE ANGLES ON
CROSSWELL REFLECTION IMAGING

JOONGMOO BYUN and JAMES WARD RECTOR III

*Department of Materials Science and Mineral Engineering, University of California at Berkeley,
Berkeley, CA 94720, U.S.A.*

(Received November 16, 1998; revised version accepted March 20, 1999)

ABSTRACT

Byun, J. and Rector III, J.W., 1999. The effects of wide-incidence angles on crosswell reflection imaging. *Journal of Seismic Exploration*, 8: 101-115.

Three effects related to the wide-incidence angles in crosswell reflection imaging, phase shift at post-critical incidence angles, in-plane Fresnel zone effect, and wave stretch in image, were investigated separately with model studies. To isolate the above effects, crosswell data were modeled with both a ray tracing and a finite difference approach based on the acoustic wave equation. Phase shifts occur at post-critical incidence angles degrading the stacked (over incidence angle) reflection image. The Fresnel zone effect produces a misalignment of the wavelet peak without the phase shift. However, these misalignments do not degrade the stack quality significantly compared with the post-critical incidence angle effect. The wavelet stretch effect produced in the imaging operation (mapping or migration) broadens the bandwidth of the stacked reflections, but it also introduces a vertically and laterally variable wavelet due to the variable reflection incidence angle coverage of each stacked trace. To remove the wavelet stretch effect, we applied spectral shaping, which compensates for wavelet stretch by applying a bandpass filter with the same range to all traces in the stacked section and whitens the wavenumber spectra.

KEY WORDS: crosswell, wide-incidence angle, post-critical angle, Fresnel zone, stretch effect.

INTRODUCTION

The application of the crosswell seismic reflection method to reservoir characterization and monitoring of enhanced oil recovery processes has recently become widespread (e.g., Harris et al., 1995; Sheline, 1995; Langan et al., 1998). However, the reflection incidence angles utilized in crosswell seismic data are wider (typically between $40^\circ \sim 80^\circ$) than those used in conventional surface seismic (typically less than 30°).

0963-0651/99/\$5.00

© 1999 Geophysical Press

There are a number of imaging issues created by using these wide reflection incidence angles. Besides the well-known amplitude variation with incidence angle (called AVO in surface seismic), phase and frequency modulation of imaged reflections can also occur at very wide angles. These issues do not occur only in crosswell seismic geometry, but also can occur in long-offset VSP and surface seismic acquisition when source/receiver offsets are very large. In this paper, we investigate three aspects related to the imaging of wide-incidence angles: the presence of incidence angles beyond the critical angle, Fresnel zone effects, and wavelet stretch in the reflection image.

To decompose these three issues into separate imaging problems, we used both ray trace and finite difference modeling, creating synthetic data for the 1-D velocity fields shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Modeling method and velocity pairs used for each problem.

PROBLEM	BASIC THEORY OF THE METHOD USED IN MODELING	VELOCITY PAIR (TOP LAYER / BOTTOM LAYER)
Phase shift at post-critical incidence angles	Ray tracing	6000 / 8000 ft/s
Fresnel zone effect	Finite difference method (acoustic wave equation)	6000 / 5900 ft/s
Wave stretch in image	Ray tracing	6000 / 4000 ft/s

MODEL GEOMETRY AND MODELING TECHNIQUES

A two-layer structure with horizontal reflection interfaces was used for model studies (Fig. 1). To simulate a crosswell geometry with vertical boreholes, sources were located from 2000 to 2680 ft (from 609.6 to 816.9 m) in depth and receivers were located from 2000 to 2795 ft (from 609.6 to 851.9 m) in depth. Both source and receiver intervals were 5 ft (1.5 m). A zero-phase Ricker wavelet with a center frequency of 700 Hz was used as a source wavelet. The borehole radiation patterns of sources and receivers were not considered in this study, rather, an omnidirectional radiation pattern was assumed. The distance between source and receiver well was 991 ft (302.1 m) and the depth of horizontal reflection interface was 2700 ft (823 m). Three velocity models were created. The velocity of the upper layer was fixed at 6000 ft/s (1828.8 m/s) in all models. In the first model (model 1), the velocity of the lower layer was 8000 ft/s (2438 m/s) to evaluate critical angle effects. In the second model

s created by using these wide known amplitude variation with (seismic), phase and frequency occur at very wide angles. These geometry, but also can occur in when source/receiver offsets are aspects related to the imaging of incidence angles beyond the critical angle in the reflection image.

rate imaging problems, we used creating synthetic data for the 1-D

h problem.

VELOCITY PAIR (TOP LAYER / BOTTOM LAYER)
6000 / 8000 ft/s
6000 / 5900 ft/s
6000 / 4000 ft/s

s

reflection interfaces was used for crosswell geometry with vertical 2680 ft (from 609.6 to 816.9 m) to 2795 ft (from 609.6 to 851.9 m) were 5 ft (1.5 m). A zero-phase 4 Hz was used as a source wavelet. receivers were not considered in ion pattern was assumed. The 991 ft (302.1 m) and the depth 2700 ft (823 m). Three velocity models was fixed at 6000 ft/s (1828.8 m/s), the velocity of the lower layer angle effects. In the second model

(model 2), the lower layer velocity was 5900 ft/s (1798 m/s), and, in the third model (model 3), the lower layer velocity was 4000 ft/s (1219.2 m/s). To model the crosswell data, two methods were used. The first method was based on ray tracing and the second method was a finite difference approach based on the acoustic wave equation. With the ray tracing method, we obtained only specular arrivals such as transmitted P or reflected P and did not produce wave phenomena such as Fresnel zone effects or head waves. On the other hand, the acoustic finite difference method produced all acoustic wave phenomena, including head waves and Fresnel zone effects. However, elastic effects such as P-S mode-converted waves were not incorporated. Also, AVO effects and critical angle effects were not accurately represented.

EFFECTS OF INCIDENCE ANGLE BEYOND THE CRITICAL ANGLE

If a plane P-wave strikes obliquely at a horizontal interface, a reflected P-wave, a transmitted P-wave, and mode-converted waves such as reflected and transmitted S waves are produced. In this situation, the reflection coefficient depends on incidence angle. If the velocity of the upper layer is less than that of the underlying layer and the incident wave strikes with an angle beyond the critical angle, a phase shift occurs in the reflected arrival.




Fig. 1. Model geometry and reflection ray paths for a common source gather with a source located at depth of 2300 ft.

To investigate only this phenomenon, we used ray tracing, which does not produce a Fresnel zone effect. Using a velocity of 6000 ft/s for the upper layer and 8000 ft/s for the lower layer, post-critical reflections were produced for incidence angles beyond 49° . Fig. 2 shows the effects of post-critical reflection incidence angles for the crosswell geometry of Fig. 1. In Fig. 2, the reflected waves are flattened to a constant time (100 ms) using the specular traveltime. When flattened to a constant time by using the specular traveltime, the phase shift effect is obvious. For the traces where the incidence angles are beyond the critical angle, there is a gradual phase delay that increases with increasing incidence angle. This post-critical phase shift will produce a wavelet cancellation in stacking. Therefore, if we stack mapped or migrated data without correcting for the post-critical phase shift, this effect can degrade the benefits of stacking.




Fig. 2. The effect of the post-critical incidence angle in a common-source gather.

used ray tracing, which does not of 6000 ft/s for the upper layer reflections were produced for effects of post-critical reflection Fig. 1. In Fig. 2, the reflected) using the specular traveltimes. e specular traveltimes, the phase incidence angles are beyond the that increases with increasing ll produce a wavelet cancellation migrated data without correcting degrade the benefits of stacking.

To stack the mapped or migrated data, we transform the data into a common-reflection-point (CRP) gather (Lazaratos, 1993). Fig. 3 shows the phase shift effect due to the post-critical incidence angle in a common-reflection-point gather (in this case, a point roughly 2/3 of the way between the source and receiver wells). To examine the effect of post-critical phase shift on stacking, we compared phase-corrected and uncorrected root-mean-square amplitudes of the stacked trace with this gather. The width of the time window centered on the datum (100 ms) that was used to calculate RMS amplitude was 4 ms. Stacking was performed with traces in the incidence angle range of $30^\circ \sim 80^\circ$, the angle range used commonly in crosswell reflection seismic for stacking. The RMS amplitude obtained from the stacked trace without correction was about 56% of the value obtained from the stacked trace with correction. From the above result, we can see that the correction of the post-critical phase shift effect is necessary to improve stack quality.





Fig. 3. The effect of the post-critical incidence angle in a common-reflection-point gather.

FRESNEL ZONE EFFECTS

The first Fresnel zone is defined as the portion of a reflector from which reflected energy can reach a detector within one-half wavelength of the specular reflected energy (Sheriff, 1991). The Fresnel zone affects the vertical and lateral resolution of seismic data. In crosswell data, there is an in-plane Fresnel zone, which was considered in the reflection response from scatters located in the interwell plane, and also an out-of-plane Fresnel zone. While the size of the in-plane Fresnel zone increases with increasing incidence angle, the size of the out-of-plane Fresnel zone decreases with increasing incidence angle (Lazaratos, 1993). Therefore, we consider only the in-plane Fresnel zone in this study. The basic geometry for the in-plane Fresnel zone is shown in Fig. 4. Suppose that the specular reflection point is point A and that t is the reflection traveltime. The isochronal ellipse corresponding to time $t+T/2$, where T is the dominant period, intersects the horizontal reflector at points B and C. By definition, the in-plane size of the Fresnel zone is the length of the segment BC.




Fig. 4. The basic geometry for the in-plane Fresnel zone.

portion of a reflector from which the half wavelength of the specular wave affects the vertical and lateral resolution. There is an in-plane Fresnel zone, which arises from scatters located in the in-plane Fresnel zone. While the size of the in-plane Fresnel zone increases with increasing incidence angle, the size of the in-plane Fresnel zone in this study. The size of the in-plane Fresnel zone is shown in Fig. 4. Suppose that at t is the reflection traveltime. $t + T/2$, where T is the dominant period of the segment BC. By definition, the size of the segment BC.




Fig. 5 shows the size of the in-plane Fresnel zone as a function of the horizontal location of the reflection point and the incidence angle. The in-plane Fresnel zone size is expressed in terms of the fraction of the interwell distance. From this figure, we can see that the size of the in-plane Fresnel zone is smallest at the wells and increases as we move towards the midpoint between the two wells. In other words, the lateral resolution of crosswell reflection data (unmigrated) is highest near the wells and decreases toward the middle of the image. Also, we can observe that the in-plane Fresnel zone effect increases with increasing incidence angle.

A 2-D finite-difference method based on the acoustic wave equation was used to generate the crosswell seismic data for investigation of the in-plane Fresnel zone effect. We used a velocity of 5900 ft/s for the lower layer so that there was no critical angle. The section shown in Fig. 6 is a common-reflection-point (CRP) gather at the same reflection point used in Fig. 3. The reflected waves are flattened to a constant time as was done in the post-critical angle analysis. From the figure, we can see that the in-plane Fresnel zone effect appears as a misalignment of the peak of the wavelet without a phase shift in the image. The time shift of the peak increases by 0.015 ms as the incidence angle increases 1° . The RMS amplitude obtained from the stacked trace without correction was about 83% of the value obtained from the stacked trace with correction. Therefore, the Fresnel zone effect does not significantly degrade the stack quality compared with the post-critical incidence angle effect.




Fig. 5. The size of the in-plane Fresnel zone as a function of incidence angle, where X_F is the size of the in-plane Fresnel zone, X_{well} is the distance between source and receiver wells, and X is the horizontal location of the reflection point from the source well [taken from Lazaratos (1993)].





Fig. 6. The effect of the in-plane Fresnel zone in a common-reflection-point gather.

In imaging crosswell reflection data, errors in the imaging velocity produce misalignments of reflectors in a CRP gather. Therefore, the Fresnel zone effect, which also produces a misalignment of a reflector in a crosswell image, can be described as the equivalent of a velocity error in imaging the data. For example, the delay of the wavelet peak from the datum (100 ms) is 0.55 ms for the trace whose incidence angle is 80° . This misalignment value is equivalent to a 3.8% velocity error in VSP-CDP mapping (Lazaratos, 1993). This velocity error is within the reproducibility limits of repeat crosswell tomogram (Langan, personal communication).

WAVELET STRETCH IN IMAGE

There are two kinds of common imaging methods for crosswell reflection data. One is VSP-CDP mapping (Lazaratos, 1993), which is a point-to-point transformation similar to the normal moveout (NMO) correction in surface seismic. The other is migration (Mo et al., 1993; Qin and Schuster, 1993). We used VSP-CDP mapping in our model studies. Since a VSP-CDP mapping algorithm maps traces to curvilinear trajectories, it produces wavelet distortion, similar to the stretch associated with the NMO correction. While a NMO correction produces only vertical stretch, the non-vertical trajectories used in



on-reflection-point gather.

errors in the imaging velocity gather. Therefore, the Fresnel extent of a reflector in a crosswell is sensitive to a velocity error in imaging the peak from the datum (100 ms) is 80°. This misalignment value is due to VSP-CDP mapping (Lazaratos, 1993). The misalignment limits of repeat crosswell

methods for crosswell reflection (Lazaratos, 1993), which is a point-to-point correction (NMO) correction in surface seismic (Qin and Schuster, 1993). We note that since a VSP-CDP mapping produces wavelet distortion, it produces wavelet distortion, NMO correction. While a NMO correction is used in non-vertical trajectories used in

VSP-CDP mapping produces both a vertical and a horizontal stretch. Pre-stack crosswell migration produces a similar stretch effect when the wavefield being used is not propagating parallel to the vertical array of image points (Brown, 1994). Stretch effects are easier to characterize in VSP-CDP mapping than in pre-stack migration because the former is a point-to-point transformation.

The stretch effect in VSP-CDP mapping reduces the wavenumber band of the image wavelet as the incidence angle increases. The effective horizontal and vertical wavelengths due to stretch after VSP-CDP mapping for the constant velocity case are as follows (Lazaratos, 1993):

$$\lambda_x = [1 - 2(x/x_{\text{well}})](\lambda/2)(\tan\phi/\cos\phi) , \quad (1)$$

$$\lambda_z = \lambda/(2\cos\phi) , \quad (2)$$

where λ_x is the horizontal wavelength due to stretch after VSP-CDP mapping, λ_z is the vertical wavelength due to stretch after VSP-CDP mapping, λ is the wavelength $2\pi v/\omega$, x_{well} is the distance between source and receiver wells, x is the distance of reflection point from the source well, and ϕ is the incidence angle.




Fig. 7. The image stretch after VSP-CDP mapping in a common-reflection-point gather.

From the above equations, we can see that vertical and lateral stretch effects increase as the incidence angle increases. In addition, while the vertical stretch is independent of the position of the reflection point, lateral stretch is largest close to the wells and decreases as the reflection points move towards the middle of the interwell space. The vertical stretch effect appears as a lowering of the central wavenumber, thereby degrading the vertical resolution. Fig. 7 shows a common-reflection-point (CRP) gather at the same CRP as that in Fig. 3 after applying VSP-CDP mapping to the model 3 data. The stretch effect related to VSP-CDP mapping increases as the incidence angle increases, and it increases abruptly when the incidence angles are greater than 80° . The large stretch effects related to angles above 80° make it difficult to use these angles for imaging.

The stretch effect in the wavelet appears as a lowering of the central wavenumber and a reduction in the wavenumber bandwidth as shown in Fig. 7. Therefore, the effect of stretch on stacking is a little different from the previous two cases. Fig. 8 shows stacked wavelets for different angle ranges along with the wavelets corresponding to the minimum (39°) and maximum incidence angle (80°) used in stacking. As the incidence angle increases, the wavelength of the stacked wavelet increases. However, the main lobe of the stacked wavelet is narrower than the trace corresponding to the 80° incidence angle, even though its dominant wavelength is similar.





Fig. 8. The stretch effect in the traces stacked with a various incidence angle ranges.

see that vertical and lateral stretch increases. In addition, while the vertical stretch effect appears as a lowering of the resolution, lateral stretch is more pronounced. The reflection points move towards the surface as the incidence angle increases, and it becomes more difficult to use these angles greater than 80° . The large lateral stretch effect makes it difficult to use these angles greater than 80° . The large lateral stretch effect makes it difficult to use these angles greater than 80° .

appears as a lowering of the central wavenumber bandwidth as shown in Fig. 7. This is a little different from the previous case for different angle ranges along with the same CRP. As the maximum incidence angle increases, the wavelength of the main lobe of the stacked wavelet is increased. At the 80° incidence angle, even though

The spectra in Fig. 9 explain this phenomenon. From these spectra, we see that the main wavenumber moves lower as we use higher incidence angles in stacking. If we compare the spectrum of the $30^\circ \sim 80^\circ$ stacked wavelet with that of the 80° wavelet, we see that the main wavenumbers of both traces are similar but the stacked trace has greater bandwidth. While the wavelength of the wavelet and the related resolution are determined by its main wavenumber, the width of the wavelet main lobe is controlled by its bandwidth. Therefore, the use of stretched wavelets in stacking narrows the main lobe even though it lowers the main wavenumber. This bandwidth enhancement is a positive effect of wavelet stretch. Bandwidth enhancement due to wavelet stretch is more pronounced in crosswell seismic geometry, because there is a greater incidence angle range than for surface seismic recording.



various incidence angle ranges.






Fig. 9. The spectra of the traces in Fig. 8.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 10. (a) The wavenumber band of the wavelet for different incidence angles after applying VSP-CDP mapping to the wavelet having a frequency band of 400 ~ 700 Hz in the time domain. (b) Stacking fold for each wavenumber component of the stacked trace.





Figure 10b: Histogram of the wavenumber band of the wavelet for different incidence angles after applying spectral shaping to the model wavelet that has a frequency band of 400 ~ 700 Hz in the time domain. The stacked trace.

If there was no stretch effect, the signal wavenumber bands of the traces used in stacking would be identical for all wavenumbers and would be enhanced equally by stacking. However, when a stretch effect exists, the signal wavenumber bands of the traces change with incidence angles. Fig. 10a shows the change of the wavenumber band of the wavelet for different incidence angles when we apply VSP-CDP mapping to the model wavelet that has a frequency band of 400 ~ 700 Hz in the time domain. This change of the wavenumber band of the signal makes the stacking fold different for different wavenumbers (Fig. 10b). Therefore, if the noise also has a wide band, the signal-to-noise ratio in the stacked trace varies as a function of wavenumber.

CORRECTION OF THE STRETCH EFFECT

Spectral shaping can be used to correct for the stretch effect in the VSP-CDP mapped image. If we apply a bandpass filter to all traces in the VSP-CDP mapped section with the same wavenumber range and equalize the wavenumber spectrum, we can create a VSP-CDP mapped image with a uniform wavelet. This approach is similar to the method introduced by Haldorsen and Farmer. (1989) to compensate for the stretch effect due to NMO correction in surface seismic.

Fig. 11 shows the result after applying spectral shaping to the CRP gather in Fig. 7 with a wavenumber range of $0.03 \sim 0.01 \text{ ft}^{-1}$. Even though the wavelet was wider, we obtained uniform wavelets for incidence angles less than 80° . Since wavelet stretch effect increases abruptly, we failed to correct the stretch effect with spectral shaping for wavelets with incidence angles greater than 80° . The correction of stretch effect with spectral shaping improves the uniformity of the S/N ratio and the resolution in the stacked data when we stack the VSP-CDP mapped data with incidence angles less than 80° .

CONCLUSIONS

One of the features of crosswell reflection data is the use of large (typically $40^\circ \sim 80^\circ$) incidence angles in reflection imaging. These wide-incidence angles create complications for reflection imaging such as near- and post-critical angle effects, Fresnel zone effect, and wavelet stretch. These three effects were examined separately with model studies. All three effects become more severe with increasing incidence angle. A phase shift occurs in reflected wavelets with incidence angle beyond the critical angle. This phase shift can cancel the wavelet in stacking and degrade the stacking quality. The Fresnel zone effect produces a misalignment of the wavelet peak without a phase shift. However, the degradation on the stack quality due to this misalignment is less than that due to the phase shift at post-critical incidence angles. A wavelet

stretch occurs both vertically and horizontally when we image crosswell reflection data by VSP-CDP mapping or migration. The stretch effect reduces the main wavenumber of the wavelet and creates a narrower wavenumber band with increasing incidence angle. The use of stretched wavelets in stacking compresses the main lobe of the stacked wavelet and changes the S/N ratio as a function of wavenumber. In addition, the variable reflection angle coverage introduces a laterally and vertically variable stretch effect into the stacked section. In this study, we use spectral shaping to correct for the stretch effect in the VSP-CDP mapped image. We can equalize the spectra of wavelets in the VSP-CDP mapped section by applying spectral shaping, and the reduction of the stretch effect in the unstacked data improves the uniformity of the S/N ratio and the resolution in the stacked data.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ProMAX seismic processing software was provided under a Strategic Partnership with Landmark Graphics. The authors also thank the sponsors of the Berkeley center for reservoir imaging.





Fig. 11. Result after applying spectral shaping to the section in Fig. 7.

ally when we image crosswell
 ration. The stretch effect reduces
 tes a narrower wavenumber band
 f stretched wavelets in stacking
 elet and changes the S/N ratio as
 variable reflection angle coverage
 e stretch effect into the stacked
 g to correct for the stretch effect
 lize the spectra of wavelets in the
 l shaping, and the reduction of the
 ne uniformity of the S/N ratio and

was provided under a Strategic
 nors also thank the sponsors of the

REFERENCES

- Brown, R., 1994. Image quality depends on your point of view. *The Leading Edge*, 13: 669-673.
- Harris, J.M., Nolen-Hoeksema, R.C., Langan, R.T., van Schaack, M., Lazaratos, S.K. and Rector, J.W., 1995. High-resolution crosswell imaging of a west Texas carbonate reservoir. Part 1: Project summary and interpretation. *Geophysics*, 60: 667-681.
- Haldorsen, J.B.U. and Farmer, P.A., 1989. Resolution and NMO-stretch: imaging by stacking. *Geophys. Prosp.*, 37: 479-492.
- Langan, R.T., Lazaratos, S.K., Harris, J.M., Vassiliou, A.A., Jensen, T.L., Fairborn, J.W., 1998. Crosswell seismic imaging in the Buena Vista Hills, San Joaquin Valley: A case history. *Expanded Abstr.*, 68th Ann. Internat. SEG Mtg., New Orleans: 353-356.
- Lazaratos, S.K., 1993. Crosswell Reflection Imaging. Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford Univ. Stanford, CA.
- Mo, L.-W. and Harris, J.M., 1993. Migration of crosswell seismic data. *Expanded Abstr.*, 63rd Ann. Internat. SEG Mtg., Washington D.C.: 317-320.
- Qin, F. and Schuster, G.T., 1993. Constrained Kirchhoff migration of crosswell seismic data. *Expanded Abstr.*, 63rd Ann. Internat. SEG Mtg., Washington, D.C.: 99-102.
- Sheline, H.E., 1995. Cross Well Seismic Reservoir Characterization - a Case Story. *Expanded Abstr.*, 57th EAGE Conf., Glasgow, B049.
- Sheriff, R.E., 1991. *Encyclopedic Dictionary of Exploration Geophysics*. SEG, Tulsa, OK.



tion in Fig. 7.